UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,544	06/02/2006	Jouni Rainio	05-02-FPP US	3721
33249 7590 02/08/2008 HEXION SPECIALTY CHEMICALS, INC. 1600 SMITH STREET, P.O. BOX 4500			EXAMINER	
			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
HOUSTON, T	X 772.10-4500	·	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	•
				DELINEDA MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/549,544	RAINIO, JOUNI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Patrick D. Niland	1796	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the total apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON.	N. imely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 So</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, presented in the second se		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a cordinate file of the drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 1796

1. The applicant's amendment of 9/4/07 has been entered. Claims 1-16 are pending.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 99/46349 Lappalainen et al..

Lappalainen discloses a foamed resin adhesive containing the instantly claimed ingredients in the instantly claimed amounts at the abstract; page 3, lines 15-32; page 4, lines 1-32, particularly 3-5, 10-12, 14-17; and the remainder of the document. The temperature of the instant claim 16 is not disclosed. However, it is not seen that this temperature makes an adhesive which is different that that described by Lappalainen. The surfactants of Lappalainen are derivatives, at least theoretically, of the compounds recited as the instantly claimed surfactants. The applicant argues "Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to further define the foaming agent.

Applicant's foaming agent does not teach the usage of a cationic acrylamide copolymer, an element that is required by Lappalainen et al." This argument is not persuasive because the instant claims do not exclude cationic acrylamide copolymer of the reference and recite "comprising" with regard to the total composition and "comprises" with regard to the foaming

Application/Control Number: 10/549,544

Art Unit: 1796

agent, which clearly encompasses the acrylamide of the prior art. This rejection is therefore maintained for the reasons stated above and the teachings of the cited prior art.

6. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/46349 Lappalainen et al..

Lappalainen discloses a foamed resin adhesive containing the instantly claimed ingredients in the instantly claimed amounts at the abstract; page 3, lines 15-32; page 4, lines 1-32, particularly 3-5, 10-12, 14-17; and the remainder of the document. The temperature of the instant claim 16 is not disclosed. However, it is not seen that this temperature makes an adhesive which is different that that described by Lappalainen.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed ingredient combinations and amounts thereof because they are encompassed by the reference and would have been expected to give the properties disclosed by Lappalainen. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed surfactants of the instant claims because the instantly claimed surfactants are well known and commercially available surfactants which are encompassed by the generic disclosures of the reference (page 4, lines 3-5) which would have been expected to give the surface active effect required of the surfactants of the reference.

The applicant argues "Applicant has amended independent claim 1 to further define the foaming agent.

Applicant's foaming agent does not teach the usage of a cationic acrylamide copolymer, an element that is required by Lappalainen et al." This argument is not persuasive because the instant claims do not exclude cationic acrylamide copolymer of the reference and recite

Application/Control Number: 10/549,544

Art Unit: 1796

t· 1706

"comprising" with regard to the total composition and "comprises" with regard to the foaming agent, which clearly encompasses the acrylamide of the prior art. This rejection is therefore maintained for the reasons stated above and the teachings of the cited prior art.

The prior art composition falls within the scope of that of the instant claims for the above stated reasons. There is no showing of unexpected results of any kind in a manner commensurate in scope with the instant claims and the cited prior art. The applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach that the currently claimed foaming agent would produce an effective and ethical adhesion composition that has the argued good properties is not supported by probative evidence that is commensurate in scope with the cited prior art. Given the similarities of the prior art compositions to those of the instant claims, it cannot be seen by the examiner that the prior art compositions do not have the argued properties and that any differences in properties are unexpected. This rejection is therefore maintained.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,544

Art Unit: 1796

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick D Niland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796 Page 5